arXiv:math/0409424v2 [math.SP] 23 Sep 2004

Completion problems and scattering problems for Dirac type differential equations with singularities

Bernd Fritzsche, Bernd Kirstein, and Alexander Sakhnovich

Abstract

A completion problem to recover a rational matrix function which is j-unitary on the line is treated. A Dirac type system with singularities on the semiaxis is recovered explicitly by its left reflection coefficient. The close connection between these two problems is discussed.

1 Introduction

Completion problems is an interesting domain closely connected with moment and interpolation problems (see, for instance, [8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 24, 27, 28]). In this paper we shall show that completion problems underlie explicit solutions of some classical and non-classical inverse scattering problems too. We call an $m \times m$ rational matrix function $W(\lambda)$, that tends to I_m at infinity, *weakly j-elementary* if it is j-unitary on the real axis:
a) $W(\lambda)^* j W(\lambda) = j$ for λ on the real line $\mathbb{R}$.
Here I_m is the $m \times m$ identity matrix, W^* stands for the conjugate transpose

of matrix W, and $j = j^* = j^{-1}$. We call W *regular* in $\mathbb{C}_+$ if:
b) $W(\lambda)$ has no singularities in the open upper halfplane $\mathbb{C}_+$.
Notice that a matrix function $W(\lambda)$, that tends to I_m at infinity, is called *j-elementary rational factor in* $\mathbb{C}_+$ if:
c) $W(\lambda)^* jW(\lambda) \geq j$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+$, $W(\lambda)^* jW(\lambda) \leq j$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_-$,
but the weak requirement a) deals with the axis only. Partition now W into four blocks $W = \{W_{kj}\}_{k,j=1}^2$, where W_{11} is an $m_1 \times m_1$ matrix.

Our main completion problem is to recover a weakly j-elementary and regular function W by

$$R(\lambda) = W_{21}(\lambda)W_{11}(\lambda)^{-1}. \tag{1.1}$$

The j-elementary and weakly j-elementary rational factors in $\mathbb{C}_+$ prove to be closely connected [30, 43, 45] with the fundamental solution of the self-adjoint Dirac type (also called ZS or AKNS) system, which is a classical matrix differential equation:

$$\frac{d}{dx}u(x,\lambda) = i(\lambda j + jV(x))u(x,\lambda) \quad (x \geq 0), \tag{1.2}$$

where $m = m_1 + m_2$,

$$j = \begin{bmatrix} I_{m_1} & 0 \\ 0 & -I_{m_2} \end{bmatrix}, \quad V = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & v \\ v^* & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{1.3}$$

Dirac type systems are very well-known in mathematics and applications (see, for instance, [20, 21, 25, 35, 38, 44, 48, 51] and references therein). The name ZS-AKNS is connected with the fact that system (1.2) is an auxiliary linear system for many important nonlinear integrable wave equations and as such it was studied, for instance, in [1, 2, 26, 29, 52].

For simplicity we shall further consider j of the form (1.3). First we solve in this paper the above mentioned completion problem. Then we treat Dirac type systems on the semiaxis with left reflection coefficient R_L satisfying (1.1). We consider $m_1 \times m_2$ rectangular and slowly decaying potentials v with singularities that are essential in the study of the multicomponent nonlinear equations and soliton-positon interactions [15, 17, 36, 41, 49]. Explicit solutions of the direct and inverse problems that generalize and develop earlier results from [5, 10, 32, 45] are obtained. Various notions from the mathematical system theory will be widely used, and therefore an Appendix with basic notions and results from system theory is added to the paper.

It is well-known [46, 3] that any rational matrix function which is j-unitary on $\mathbb{R}$ and tending to I_m at infinity can be presented in the form of the transfer matrix function

$$W(\lambda) = I_m + ij\Lambda_0^* S_0^{-1}(\lambda I_n - \alpha)^{-1}\Lambda_0, \tag{1.4}$$

where α and S_0 are square $n \times n$ matrices, Λ_0 is $n \times m$ matrix, n is the McMillan degree of W, $S_0 = S_0^*$, and the identity

$$\alpha S_0 - S_0 \alpha^* = i\Lambda_0 j \Lambda_0^* \tag{1.5}$$

holds. The transfer matrix functions of the special form (1.4), (1.5) have been introduced and studied in [46]-[48] (see also the references therein). Regularity of W means that the spectrum of α in the realization (1.4) belongs to the closed lower halfplane $\overline{\mathbb{C}}_-$. When $S_0 > 0$ we can substitute α, S_0, and Λ_0 in (1.4), (1.5) by $S_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}\alpha S_0^{\frac{1}{2}}$, I_n, and $S_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}\Lambda_0$, respectively, i.e., without loss of generality we can assume that $S_0 = I_n$ in this case. Relations (1.4), (1.5) with $S_0 = I_n$ take the form

$$W(\lambda) = I_m + ij\Lambda_0^*(\lambda I_n - \alpha)^{-1}\Lambda_0, \quad \alpha - \alpha^* = i\Lambda_0 j \Lambda_0^*. \tag{1.6}$$

Notice that the matrix function in (1.6) is a well-known Livšic-Brodskii characteristic function [39]. Important applications of its multidimensional generalization to the spectral and scattering theory one can find in [14, 50] and the references therein.

Theorem 1.1 *(i) The weakly j-elementary, regular in $\mathbb{C}_+$ rational matrix function W tending to I_m at infinity is uniquely recovered by the $m_2 \times m_1$ matrix function R satisfying (1.1). This matrix function R is contractive on*

$\mathbb{R}$ and tends to zero at infinity.
(ii) Moreover, by each rational, contractive on $\mathbb{R}$ and tending to zero at infinity $m_2 \times m_1$ matrix function R we can recover a unique weakly j-elementary and regular in $\mathbb{C}_+$ rational matrix function W tending to I_m at infinity, of the same McMillan degree as R, and such that (1.1) holds. The matrix function W is recovered in the following way. Consider a minimal realization

$$R(\lambda) = C(\lambda I_n - A)^{-1}B, \tag{1.7}$$

where n is the McMillan degree of R, i.e., the order of A, and choose the $n \times n$ Hermitian solution $X = X^*$ of the Riccati equation

$$i(XA - A^*X) = C^*C + XBB^*X \tag{1.8}$$

such that

$$\sigma(A + iBB^*X) \subset \overline{\mathbb{C}}_-. \tag{1.9}$$

Here σ means spectrum and $\overline{\mathbb{C}}_-$ is the closed lower halfplane. Next put

$$\alpha = A + iBB^*X, \quad S_0 = X^{-1}, \quad \gamma_1 = B, \quad \gamma = -iS_0C^*, \tag{1.10}$$

$$\Lambda_0 = [\gamma_1 \quad \gamma].$$

Finally substitute (1.10) into (1.4) to construct W.
(iii) If W is a j-elementary rational regular factor in $\mathbb{C}_+$, then R is contractive in $\mathbb{C}_+$, and vice versa if the conditions of (ii) hold and R is contractive in $\mathbb{C}_+$, then X in (1.8)-(1.10) is strictly positive and the recovered W is a j-elementary rational regular factor in $\mathbb{C}_+$.

The completion problem considered in (iii) has been in a different way solved in [8, 23] (see also [32] for the subcase of (iii), where $m_1 = m_2$). When $\sigma(\alpha) \subset \mathbb{R}$ the matrix function W belongs to the class of *Arov-singular* j-inner matrix functions [9, 11, 12]. The case $\sigma(\alpha) \subset \mathbb{R}$ corresponds to system (1.2) with the slow-decaying n-positon type potentials v [45].

To prove Theorem 1.1 we shall need the following generalization (for the case of the sign-indefinite solutions X of the Riccati equations) of Theorem 4.2 given in the interesting paper [10] which is related to our work.

Lemma 1.2 *Suppose the $m_2 \times m_1$ matrix function R is rational, contractive on $\mathbb{R}$ and tending to zero at infinity, and (1.7) is its minimal realization. Then there is a unique Hermitian solution X of the Riccati equation (1.8) such that (1.9) holds. This solution X is always invertible. It is also strictly positive if and only if R is contractive in $\mathbb{C}_+$.*

This lemma is closely connected with several results from [37].

Lemma 1.2 and Theorem 1.1 will be proved in the Section 2. Explicit solutions of the direct scattering problem are given in Section 3 whereas explicit solutions of the inverse scattering problem are given in Section 4. An appendix contains a collection of necessary notions and results from system theory.

2 Completion problem

Proof of Lemma 1.2. Denote by $\overline{\lambda}$ complex value conjugated to λ and by $\mathcal{M}^*$, for some set $\mathcal{M}$ of complex values, the set of complex values conjugated to those in $\mathcal{M}$. By Theorem 21.1.3 in [37] there exists a Hermitian solution X of the Riccati equation (1.8). (Indeed, the matrix function $R(\overline{\lambda})^* = B^*(\lambda I_n - A^*)^{-1}C^*$ is contractive on $\mathbb{R}$ simultaneously with $R(\lambda)$ and equation (21.1.6) in [37] written down for $R(\overline{\lambda})^*$ coincides with (1.8).) We introduce the characteristic state space matrix [33]

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} A & BB^* \\ C^*C & A^* \end{bmatrix}. \tag{2.1}$$

If X satisfies (1.8) then we have

$$T^{-1}KT = \begin{bmatrix} A + iBB^*X & BB^* \\ 0 & (A + iBB^*X)^* \end{bmatrix}, \quad T = \begin{bmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ iX & I_n \end{bmatrix}. \tag{2.2}$$

By (2.2) we obtain

$$\sigma(K) \setminus \mathbb{R} = \mathcal{M} \cup \mathcal{M}^*, \tag{2.3}$$

where $\mathcal{M}$ is the subset of the eigenvalues of K in $\mathbb{C}_-$. The subset $\mathcal{M}$ has the evident property: if $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$, then $\overline{\mu} \notin \mathcal{M}$. Moreover, according to (2.3) $\mathcal{M}$ is a maximal set of non-real eigenvalues of K subject to this property. Now we can apply Theorem 7.4.2 in [37] to derive the existence and the uniqueness of the Hermitian solution X of the Riccati equation (1.8) such that $\sigma(A+iBB^*X)\setminus\mathbb{R} = \mathcal{M}$ from the maximality of $\mathcal{M}$ and the existence of some Hermitian solution of (1.8). (Though normalizations in Theorem 7.4.2 in [37] are chosen for the right halfplane they are easily changed to suit $\mathbb{C}_-$.) Use again (2.2) to see that (1.9) yields $\sigma(A+iBB^*X)\setminus\mathbb{R} = \mathcal{M}$. Therefore a Hermitian matrix X satisfying (1.8) and (1.9) is unique too. Finally notice that by Theorem 21.2.1 in [37] any Hermitian X satisfying (1.8) is invertible, and this X is strictly positive if and only if $R(\overline{\lambda})^*$ has no poles in the lower halfplane. In other words X is strictly positive if and only if $R(\lambda)$ has no poles in $\mathbb{C}_+$, i.e., if and only if $R(\lambda)$ is contractive in $\mathbb{C}_+$. $\blacksquare$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. According to (1.4), if $\lambda \to \infty$ then we get $W_{11}(\lambda) \to I_{m_1}$ and $W_{21}(\lambda) \to 0$. So R of the form (1.1) tends to zero. As $W(\lambda)^* j W(\lambda) = j$ on $\mathbb{R}$ we have

$$W_{11}(\lambda)^* W_{11}(\lambda) - W_{21}(\lambda)^* W_{21}(\lambda) = I_{m_1}, \tag{2.4}$$

in particular. In view of (2.4), R of the form (1.1) is contractive on $\mathbb{R}$. Thus the necessary requirements for R are proved.

Suppose (1.7) is a minimal realization of some matrix function R contractive on $\mathbb{R}$. By Lemma 1.2 there is a Hermitian X satisfying (1.8) and (1.9). Therefore the procedure to recover W in theorem is well defined. Moreover, according to (1.10) the Riccati equation (1.8) is equivalent to (1.5), i.e., (1.5) is valid. In view of (1.4) and (1.10) we have

$$W_{11}(\lambda) = I_{m_1} + i\gamma_1^* S_0^{-1}(\lambda I_n - \alpha)^{-1}\gamma_1, \quad W_{21}(\lambda) =$$

$$= -i\gamma^* S_0^{-1}(\lambda I_n - \alpha)^{-1}\gamma_1. \tag{2.5}$$

Using formula (5.4) in the Appendix, from the first equality in (2.5) we get

$$W_{11}(\lambda)^{-1} = I_{m_1} - i\gamma_1^* S_0^{-1}(\lambda I_n - \theta)^{-1}\gamma_1, \quad \theta = \alpha - i\gamma_1\gamma_1^* S_0^{-1} = A. \tag{2.6}$$

From (2.5) and (2.6) we obtain

$$W_{21}(\lambda)W_{11}(\lambda)^{-1}=-i\gamma^*S_0^{-1}(\lambda I_n-\alpha)^{-1}\gamma_1$$

$$-\gamma^*S_0^{-1}(\lambda I_n-\alpha)^{-1}\gamma_1\gamma_1^*S_0^{-1}(\lambda I_n-\theta)^{-1}\gamma_1. \tag{2.7}$$

Taking into account the identity

$$\gamma_1\gamma_1^*S_0^{-1}=i(\theta-\alpha)=-i\big((\lambda I_n-\theta)-(\lambda I_n-\alpha)\big),$$

we can rewrite (2.7) as

$$W_{21}(\lambda)W_{11}(\lambda)^{-1}=-i\gamma^*S_0^{-1}(\lambda I_n-\theta)^{-1}\gamma_1, \tag{2.8}$$

and the right-hand side of (2.8) coincides with R. In other words, equality (1.1) is valid. Moreover, the McMillan degree of the recovered W equals n, i.e., the realization (1.4) is minimal. Indeed, the right-hand side of (1.1) has its McMillan degree less or equal to the McMillan degree of W, and so the McMillan degree of R is less or equal to the McMillan degree of W. Therefore the degrees of W and R are equal. The formulas (1.4) and (1.5) yield the widely used equality

$$W(\lambda)^*jW(\lambda)=j+i(\overline{\lambda}-\lambda)\Lambda_0^*(\overline{\lambda}I_n-\alpha^*)^{-1}S_0^{-1}(\lambda I_n-\alpha)^{-1}\Lambda_0. \tag{2.9}$$

By (2.9) the function W is a weakly j-elementary factor. According to (1.9) and (1.10), we have $\sigma(\alpha)\subset\overline{\mathbb{C}}_-$, and so W of the form (1.4) has no singularities in $\mathbb{C}_+$, i.e., W is regular.

To prove uniqueness suppose that there is another weakly j-elementary regular rational factor $\widetilde{W}$ in $\mathbb{C}_+$ of the McMillan degree n and such that the equality $R=\widetilde{W}_{21}\widetilde{W}_{11}^{-1}$ is valid. Then $\widetilde{W}$ admits a minimal realization such that

$$\widetilde{W}(\lambda)=I_m+ij\widetilde{\Lambda}_0^*\widetilde{S}_0^{-1}(\lambda I_n-\widetilde{\alpha})^{-1}\widetilde{\Lambda}_0, \tag{2.10}$$

$$\widetilde{\alpha}\widetilde{S}_0-\widetilde{S}_0\widetilde{\alpha}^*=i\widetilde{\Lambda}_0j\widetilde{\Lambda}_0^*, \quad \widetilde{\Lambda}_0=[\widetilde{\gamma}_1, \quad \widetilde{\gamma}], \quad \sigma(\widetilde{\alpha})\subset\overline{\mathbb{C}}_-. \tag{2.11}$$

As $R = \widetilde{W}_{21}\widetilde{W}_{11}^{-1}$ the matrix function R admits another minimal realization, too:

$$R(\lambda) = \widetilde{C}(\lambda I_n - \widetilde{A})^{-1}\widetilde{B}, \tag{2.12}$$

where

$$\widetilde{A} = \widetilde{\alpha} - i\widetilde{\gamma}_1\widetilde{\gamma}_1^*\widetilde{S}_0^{-1}, \quad \widetilde{B} = \widetilde{\gamma}_1, \quad \widetilde{C} = -i\widetilde{\gamma}^*\widetilde{S}_0^{-1} \tag{2.13}$$

(see (2.8)). Therefore there is a similarity transformation matrix s such that:

$$\widetilde{A} = sAs^{-1}, \quad \widetilde{B} = sB, \quad \widetilde{C} = Cs^{-1}. \tag{2.14}$$

Taking into account (1.8), (1.9), and (2.14), we see that the matrices $\widetilde{X} = (s^*)^{-1}Xs^{-1}$ and $\widetilde{A} + i\widetilde{B}\widetilde{B}^*\widetilde{X} = s\alpha s^{-1}$ satisfy the relations

$$i(\widetilde{X}\widetilde{A} - \widetilde{A}^*\widetilde{X}) = \widetilde{C}^*\widetilde{C} + \widetilde{X}\widetilde{B}\widetilde{B}^*\widetilde{X}, \quad \sigma(\widetilde{A} + i\widetilde{B}\widetilde{B}^*\widetilde{X}) \subset \overline{\mathbb{C}}_-. \tag{2.15}$$

By (2.11) and (2.13) we see that $\widetilde{X} = \widetilde{S}_0^{-1}$ satisfies (2.15), too. But, according to Lemma 1.2, the Hermitian solution $\widetilde{X}$ satisfying (2.15) is unique, i.e.,

$$\widetilde{S}_0 = sX^{-1}s^* = sS_0s^*. \tag{2.16}$$

From (1.10), (2.13), (2.14), and (2.16) it follows that

$$\widetilde{\Lambda}_0 = s\Lambda_0, \quad \widetilde{\alpha} = s\alpha s^{-1}. \tag{2.17}$$

By (1.4), (2.10), (2.16), and (2.17) we derive $W = \widetilde{W}$, and so the uniqueness of W is proved.

Finally, if W is j-elementary we get

$$W_{11}(\lambda)^*W_{11}(\lambda) - W_{21}(\lambda)^*W_{21}(\lambda) \geq I_{m_1} \quad (\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+),$$

i.e., $R^*R \leq I_{m_1} - (W_{11}^*)^{-1}W_{11}^{-1}$. Thus the first statement in (iii) is proved. On the other hand, if R is contractive in $\mathbb{C}_+$, then by Lemma 1.2 we have $S_0 = X^{-1} > 0$. Use now (2.9) to show that W is j-elementary. In this way, the second statement in (iii) is proved, too. ■

From (1.5) it follows that

$$\theta S_0 - S_0\theta^* = -i\Lambda_0\Lambda_0^*, \quad \theta = \alpha - i\gamma_1\gamma_1^*S_0^{-1}, \quad \Lambda_0 = [\gamma_1 \quad \gamma]. \tag{2.18}$$

Similar to the formula (2.9) we obtain for the matrix function

$$\mathbf{S}(\lambda) = I_m - i\Lambda_0^* S_0^{-1}(\lambda I_n - \theta)^{-1}\Lambda_0 \tag{2.19}$$

the equality

$$\mathbf{S}(\lambda)^*\mathbf{S}(\lambda) = I_m + i(\lambda - \overline{\lambda})\Lambda_0^*(\overline{\lambda} I_n - \theta^*)^{-1} S_0^{-1}(\lambda I_n - \theta)^{-1}\Lambda_0. \tag{2.20}$$

Therefore Theorem 1.1 yields the solution of the so called "minimal unitary completion problem".

Corollary 2.1 *Suppose that the $m_2 \times m_1$ matrix function R is rational, contractive on $\mathbb{R}$ and tending to zero at infinity, and that (1.7) is its minimal realization. Then the matrix function $\mathbf{S}(\lambda)$ given by the formula (2.19) and by the relations (1.8)-(1.10) is a unitary completion of R, where R is its left lower block, and has the same McMillan degree as R.*

The minimal unitary completion problem for the strictly contractive matrix functions has been solved in [33] (see also [37]). It will be shown in the next section (see formula (3.35)) that one of the two unitary factors of the four block scattering matrix function for the Dirac type system takes the form (2.19).

3 Direct scattering problem for Dirac type systems

Classical scattering results for Dirac type systems, the history, and literature one can find in [26]. For the more recent, matrix, and non-classical scattering results, see [6, 7, 18, 19, 20, 53] and the references therein. Explicit solutions of the direct and inverse scattering problems and nonlinear equations (soliton, positon, negaton solutions, in particular) are of special interest.

We shall consider system (1.2) with the so called pseudo-exponential $m_1 \times m_2$ potential v of the form

$$v(x) = -2i\gamma_1^* e^{ix\alpha^*} S(x)^{-1} e^{ix\alpha}\gamma, \tag{3.1}$$

where the $n \times n$ matrix function S is given by the formula

$$S(x) = S_0 + \int_0^x \Lambda(t)\Lambda(t)^* dt, \quad \Lambda(x) = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-ix\alpha}\gamma_1 & e^{ix\alpha}\gamma \end{bmatrix}, \tag{3.2}$$

α, γ_1, and γ are $n \times n$, $n \times m_1$, and $n \times m_2$ parameter matrices, and the following matrix identity is satisfied:

$$\alpha S_0 - S_0\alpha^* = i(\gamma_1\gamma_1^* - \gamma\gamma^*) \qquad (S_0 = S_0^*). \tag{3.3}$$

Various direct and inverse problems for system (1.2), (3.1) can be solved in terms of the parameter matrices explicitly. These problems for rapidly decaying subclasses of v of the form (3.1) with $\sigma(\alpha) \subset \mathbb{C}_-$ were studied, in particular, in [4, 5, 6, 10]. When $\sigma(\alpha) \cap \mathbb{R} \neq \emptyset$ the potential v is slowly decaying and is not necessarily summable on any semiaxis (c, ∞) [32]. When $\sigma(\alpha) \subset \mathbb{R}$ the scattering matrix for system (1.2), (3.1) on the whole axis equals I_m, and in this case we have the supertransparent (n-positon) potentials [45]. The spectral theory of system (1.2), (3.1) on the semi-axis $[0, \infty)$, when $m_1 = m_2$ and $S_0 = I_n$, was studied in [30, 31, 32] and other papers of the same authors cited therein. In particular, it was shown in Theorem 5.4 in [32] that without loss of generality one can assume:

$$\sigma(\alpha) \subset \overline{\mathbb{C}}_-, \quad \mathrm{span} \bigcup_{l=0}^{n-1} \mathrm{Im}\, \alpha^l \gamma_1 = \mathbb{C}^n, \quad \mathrm{span} \bigcup_{l=0}^{n-1} \mathrm{Im}\, \alpha^l \gamma = \mathbb{C}^n, \tag{3.4}$$

where Im is image, and the pair α, γ that satisfies the third equality in (3.4) is called full range or controllable. We shall call the set of parameter matrices α, S_0, γ_1, and γ *admissible* if relations (3.3) and (3.4) hold. Analogously to [32] the class of potentials v of the form (3.1) *determined* via (3.2) by a set of admissible parameter matrices will be denoted by the acronym PE. In this and the next sections we generalize a part of results in [32] to include the cases $m_1 \neq m_2$ and potentials with singularities. (Singularities occur on the semiaxis when the inequality $S_0 > 0$ does not hold.)

Similar to the case $m_1 = m_2$ the special $m \times m_1$ and $m \times m_2$ solutions Y and Z, respectively, of system (1.2) we determine by the relations

$$Y(x,\lambda) = e^{ix\lambda} \begin{bmatrix} I_{m_1} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + o(1) \quad (x \to \infty), \qquad Z(0,\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ I_{m_2} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{3.5}$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. In terms of these special solutions the so called left and right transmission and reflection coefficients of system (1.2) can be expressed via

$$T_L(\lambda) := Y_1(0,\lambda)^{-1}, \quad R_L(\lambda) := Y_2(0,\lambda)\, Y_1(0,\lambda)^{-1}, \tag{3.6}$$

$$R_R(\lambda) := \Big(\lim_{x\to\infty} e^{-ix\lambda} Z_1(x,\lambda)\Big)\Big(\lim_{x\to\infty} e^{ix\lambda} Z_2(x,\lambda)\Big)^{-1}, \tag{3.7}$$

$$T_R(\lambda) := \Big(\lim_{x\to\infty} e^{ix\lambda} Z_2(x,\lambda)\Big)^{-1}. \tag{3.8}$$

Here the $m_1 \times m_1$ matrix Y_1 and the $m_1 \times m_2$ matrix Y_2 are upper and lower blocks of Y, respectively. Analogously, the $m_1 \times m_2$ matrix Z_1 and the $m_2 \times m_2$ matrix Z_2 are upper and lower blocks of Z, respectively. The functions T_L and T_R are called the *left and right transmission coefficients* and R_L and R_R the *left and right reflection coefficients*, respectively. Then the four block scattering matrix function $\mathcal{S}$ is given by the equality

$$\mathcal{S}(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} T_L(\lambda) & R_R(\lambda) \\ R_L(\lambda) & T_R(\lambda) \end{bmatrix}. \tag{3.9}$$

To construct Y and Z for system (1.2), (3.1) we shall need some preliminaries. The $m \times m$ solution $u(x,\lambda)$ of system (1.2), (3.1) of the form

$$u(x,\lambda) = w_\alpha(x,\lambda) e^{ix\lambda j}, \tag{3.10}$$

where

$$w_\alpha(x,\lambda) = I_m + ij\Lambda(x)^* S(x)^{-1}(\lambda I_n - \alpha)^{-1}\Lambda(x), \tag{3.11}$$

and where n is the order of the parameter matrix α (and the dimension of the so called state space), was constructed in Theorem 3 in [43]. See also [30], where the case $m_1 = m_2$ is treated in greater detail. The solution u admits in x a meromorphic continuation and is non-degenerate ($\det u \neq 0$). Therefore it seems natural to call this solution fundamental even in the case of potentials with singularities. This definition agrees with the standard requirement for the fundamental and Jost solutions in the case of singularities to be defined by the same formula on the whole domain [7, 42]. Using (3.10) we shall construct meromorphic in x solutions Y and Z that satisfy (3.5).

Formulas (3.2) and (3.3) yield

$$\alpha S(x) - S(x)\alpha^* = i\Lambda(x)j\Lambda(x)^*. \tag{3.12}$$

(Compare relations (3.11) and (3.12) with the relations (1.4) and (1.5).) By the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [45] we obtain

Proposition 3.1 *Let α, S_0, γ_1, and γ be an admissible set of parameter matrices. Then we have*

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} w_\alpha(x,\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} I_{m_1} & 0 \\ 0 & \chi(\lambda) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \chi(\lambda) = I_{m_2} - i\gamma^*\varkappa_R(\lambda I_n - \alpha)^{-1}\gamma, \tag{3.13}$$

where

$$\varkappa_R = \lim_{x\to\infty} R(x)^{-1}, \quad R(x) = e^{-ix\alpha}S(x)e^{ix\alpha^*}. \tag{3.14}$$

Quite similar to (2.9) it follows from (3.11) and (3.12) that

$$w_\alpha(x,\lambda)^* j w_\alpha(x,\lambda) =$$

$$= j + i(\overline{\lambda} - \lambda)\Lambda(x)^*(\overline{\lambda}I_n - \alpha^*)^{-1}S(x)^{-1}(\lambda I_n - \alpha)^{-1}\Lambda(x). \tag{3.15}$$

By Theorem 2.1 in [45] matrix function $Q(x) = e^{ix\alpha}S(x)e^{-ix\alpha^*}$ is monotonic and tends to infinity, when $x \to \infty$. Thus there is x_0 such that $S(x) > 0$ on (x_0, ∞). According to (3.11) and (3.15) for $x \in (x_0, \infty)$ the matrix function $w_\alpha(x,\lambda)$ is rational in λ and j-contractive in $\mathbb{C}_-$.

From Proposition 3.1 it follows

Proposition 3.2 *Let $v \in PE$ be determined by the admissible matrices α, S_0 $(\det S_0 \neq 0)$, γ_1, and γ_2. Then the solutions $Y(x,\lambda)$ and $Z(x,\lambda)$ of the system (1.2) defined by the boundary conditions (3.5) are given by*

$$Y(x,\lambda) = w_\alpha(x,\lambda)e^{ix\lambda j}\begin{bmatrix} I_{m_1} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad Z(x,\lambda) = w_\alpha(x,\lambda)e^{ix\lambda j}\begin{bmatrix} \Xi_1(\lambda) \\ \Xi_2(\lambda) \end{bmatrix}, \tag{3.16}$$

where

$$\Xi_1(\lambda) = -i\gamma_1^*(\lambda I_n - \alpha^*)^{-1}S_0^{-1}\gamma, \qquad \Xi_2(\lambda) = \tag{3.17}$$
$$= I_{m_2} + i\gamma^*(\lambda I_n - \alpha^*)^{-1}S_0^{-1}\gamma.$$

The asymptotics of $Z(x,\lambda)$ at infinity is given by

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} e^{-ix\lambda j}Z(x,\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} \Xi_1(\lambda) \\ \chi(\lambda)\Xi_2(\lambda) \end{bmatrix} \qquad (\lambda \in \mathbb{R}). \tag{3.18}$$

Proof. The proof of equalities (3.16) and (3.18) is straightforward. To prove equalities (3.17) notice that

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Xi_1(\lambda) \\ \Xi_2(\lambda) \end{bmatrix} = w_\alpha(0,\lambda)^{-1}\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ I_{m_2} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{3.19}$$

By (3.11) and (3.15) we have

$$w_\alpha(x,\lambda)^{-1} = jw_\alpha(x,\overline{\lambda})^*j = I_m - ij\Lambda(x)^*(\lambda I_n - \alpha^*)^{-1}S(x)^{-1}\Lambda(x). \tag{3.20}$$

Formulas (3.19) and (3.20) yield (3.17). ■

From Proposition 3.2 we derive

$$Y_1(0,\lambda) = I_{m_1} + i\gamma_1^*S_0^{-1}(\lambda I_n - \alpha)^{-1}\gamma_1, \quad Y_2(0,\lambda) = \tag{3.21}$$
$$= -i\gamma^*S_0^{-1}(\lambda I_n - \alpha)^{-1}\gamma_1,$$

and

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} e^{-ix\lambda}Z_1(x,\lambda) = \Xi_1(\lambda), \quad \lim_{x\to\infty} e^{ix\lambda}Z_2(x,\lambda) = \chi(\lambda)\Xi_2(\lambda). \tag{3.22}$$

Notice further that, according to formula (3.16) in [45], we have

$$\varkappa_R \alpha - \alpha^* \varkappa_R + i \varkappa_R \gamma \gamma^* \varkappa_R = 0. \tag{3.23}$$

Thus one can easily check that

$$\chi(\lambda)^{-1} = I_{m_2} + i \gamma^* (\lambda I_n - \alpha^*)^{-1} \varkappa_R \gamma. \tag{3.24}$$

Using definitions (3.6)-(3.8) and realizations (3.21), (3.22), and (3.24) we obtain the next theorem that gives explicit expressions for the reflection and transmission coefficients.

Theorem 3.3 *Let* $v \in PE$ *be determined by the admissible matrices* α, S_0 $(\det S_0 \neq 0)$, γ_1, *and* γ. *Then the transmission and reflection coefficients are given by the formulas*

$$T_L(\lambda) = I_{m_1} - i \gamma_1^* S_0^{-1} (\lambda I_n - \theta)^{-1} \gamma_1, \qquad \theta = \alpha - i \gamma_1 \gamma_1^* S_0^{-1}, \tag{3.25}$$

$$R_L(\lambda) = -i \gamma^* S_0^{-1} (\lambda I_n - \theta)^{-1} \gamma_1, \tag{3.26}$$

$$T_R(\lambda) = I_{m_2} - i \gamma^* S_0^{-1} (\lambda I_n - \theta)^{-1} (I_n - S_0 \varkappa_R) \gamma, \tag{3.27}$$

$$R_R(\lambda) = -i \gamma_1^* S_0^{-1} (\lambda I_n - \theta)^{-1} (I_n - S_0 \varkappa_R) \gamma - i \gamma_1^* (\lambda I_n - \alpha^*)^{-1} \varkappa_R \gamma. \tag{3.28}$$

Proof. Compare (3.21) with (2.5) to derive (3.25) and (3.26) from (2.6) and (2.8). Notice further that in view of (1.5) we have

$$\alpha^* - i S_0^{-1} \gamma \gamma^* = S_0^{-1} (S_0 \alpha^* - i \gamma \gamma^*) = S_0^{-1} (\alpha S_0 - i \gamma_1 \gamma_1^*) = S_0^{-1} \theta S_0, \tag{3.29}$$

where θ is given by (3.25). Therefore, taking into account Appendix one can see that

$$\Xi_2(\lambda)^{-1} = I_{m_2} - i \gamma^* (\lambda I_n - (\alpha^* - i S_0^{-1} \gamma \gamma^*))^{-1} S_0^{-1} \gamma =$$

$$= I_{m_2} - i\gamma^* S_0^{-1}(\lambda I_n - \theta)^{-1}\gamma. \tag{3.30}$$

By the identity (1.5) and the second relation in (3.25) we get

$$\gamma\gamma^* = i(\theta S_0 - S_0\alpha^*) = -i\big((\lambda I_n - \theta)S_0 - S_0(\lambda I_n - \alpha^*)\big). \tag{3.31}$$

According to (3.30) and (3.31) by direct calculation similar to the one used to derive (2.8) we obtain

$$\Xi_1(\lambda)\Xi_2(\lambda)^{-1} = -i\gamma_1^* S_0^{-1}(\lambda I_n - \theta)^{-1}\gamma. \tag{3.32}$$

Finally, by (3.7), (3.8), (3.22), (3.30), and (3.32) we have

$$R_R(\lambda) = -i\gamma_1^* S_0^{-1}(\lambda I_n - \theta)^{-1}\gamma\chi(\lambda)^{-1}, \tag{3.33}$$

$$T_R(\lambda) = (I_{m_2} - i\gamma^* S_0^{-1}(\lambda I_n - \theta)^{-1}\gamma)\chi(\lambda)^{-1}. \tag{3.34}$$

In view of (3.24) and (3.31), formula (3.34) yields (3.27), whereas formula (3.33) yields (3.28). For the case $S_0 = I_m$ similar formulas have been proved in [32]. ■

By (3.9) Theorem 3.3 provides explicit formulas for the scattering matrix function $\mathcal{S}(\lambda)$, too. According to (3.25), (3.26), (3.33), and (3.34) we have

$$\mathcal{S}(\lambda) = \mathbf{S}(\lambda)\begin{bmatrix} I_{m_1} & 0 \\ 0 & \chi(\lambda)^{-1} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{3.35}$$

where $\mathbf{S}(\lambda)$ is given by (2.19).

4 An inverse problem for Dirac type systems

In this section we shall consider the inverse problem, that is we shall recover system (1.2), (3.1) or equivalently $v \in \mathrm{PE}$ by R_L.

Theorem 4.1 *Let R be a proper rational $m_2 \times m_1$ matrix function. Then R is the left reflection coefficient of a system (1.2) with $v \in \mathrm{PE}$ if and only if R vanishes at infinity and is contractive on $\mathbb{R}$. If R satisfies these conditions, then v can be uniquely recovered from R in two steps. First recover a set of parameter matrices α, S_0, γ_1, and γ as described in Theorem 1.1. Next construct v via formulas (3.1) and (3.2).*

Proof. From (3.26) it follows that the left reflection coefficient is always proper rational and vanishes at infinity. Notice that the four block scattering matrix function $\mathcal{S}(\lambda)$ is unitary on $\mathbb{R}$. Indeed, by (3.35) $\mathcal{S}(\lambda)$ is the product of two matrix functions. The first factor $\mathbf{S}(\lambda)$ is unitary by Corollary 2.1, and the second one is unitary because it is j-unitary (see formula (3.13)) and diagonal. As $\mathcal{S}(\lambda)$ is unitary, so its block R_L is contractive, and the necessary conditions are proved.

Now let R be a proper rational $m_2 \times m_1$ matrix function which vanishes at infinity and is contractive on $\mathbb{R}$. Then according to the proof of Theorem 1.1 the identity (3.3) holds for the recovered by R parameter matrices α, S_0, γ_1, and γ. Moreover, S_0 is invertible and in view of (1.9) the first relation in (3.4) is true. Recall (see also Appendix) that if a pair A, B is full range, then the pair $A - BK$, B is also full range. By the minimality of the realization (1.7) the pairs A, B and A^*, C^* are full range. Thus by (1.10) the pair α, γ_1 is full range, i.e., we get the second relation in (3.4). Finally, notice that the pair $S_0 A^* S_0^{-1}$, $S_0 C^*$ is full range simultaneously with the pair A^*, C^*. By (1.10), (2.18), and (3.29) it means that the pair $\alpha + i\gamma\gamma^* S_0^{-1}$, γ is full range, and so the pair α, γ is full range too. Therefore the third relation in (3.4) is true as well. According to (3.3) and (3.4), the set α, S_0, γ_1, and γ is admissible. Hence we can apply Theorem 3.3. As the realization (1.7) can be rewritten in the form

$$R(\lambda) = -i\gamma^*(\lambda I_n - \theta)^{-1}\gamma_1,$$

where $\theta = A = \alpha - i\gamma_1\gamma_1^* S_0^{-1}$, one can see that R is the left reflection coefficient R_L of the system (1.2) with the potential v determined by the admissible set α, S_0, γ_1, and γ.

To prove the uniqueness of the recovered v suppose that there is another system with the potential $\widetilde{v}$ determined by the admissible set $\widetilde{\alpha}$, $\widetilde{S}_0$, $\widetilde{\gamma}_1$, and $\widetilde{\gamma}$, and with the same left reflection coefficient R. Repeat the arguments in the proof of the uniqueness in Theorem 1.1 to get

$$\widetilde{S}_0 = sS_0s^*, \quad \widetilde{\Lambda}_0 = s\Lambda_0, \quad \widetilde{\alpha} = s\alpha s^{-1}. \tag{4.1}$$

Taking into account (3.2) and (4.1) we derive

$$\widetilde{\Lambda}(x) = s\Lambda(x), \quad \widetilde{S}(x) = sS(x)s^*, \tag{4.2}$$

where $\widetilde{S}$ and $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ are the matrix functions defined via (3.2) for the second admissible set. Then formulas (3.1), (4.1), and the second equality in (4.2) yield $v = \widetilde{v}$. ■

5 Appendix on mathematical system theory

The material from mathematical system theory of rational matrix functions, that is used in this paper, has its roots in the Kalman theory [34], and can be found in various books (see, for instance, [16, 22, 37]). Our presentation closely follows the presentation in [32]. The rational matrix functions appearing in this paper are *proper*, that is, analytic at infinity. Such an $m_2 \times m_1$ matrix function F can be represented in the form

$$F(\lambda) = D + C(\lambda I_n - A)^{-1}B, \tag{5.1}$$

where A is a square matrix of some order n, the matrices B and C are of sizes $n \times m_1$ and $m_2 \times n$, respectively, and $D = F(\infty)$. The representation (5.1) is called a *realization* or a *transfer matrix representation* of F, and the number $\mathrm{ord}(A)$ (order of the matrix A) is called the *state space dimension* of the realization.

The realization (5.1) is said to be *minimal* if its state space dimension n is minimal among all possible realizations of F. This minimal n is called the

McMillan degree of F. The realization (5.1) of F is minimal if and only if

$$\operatorname{span} \bigcup_{k=0}^{n-1} \operatorname{Im} A^k B = \mathbb{C}^n, \quad \bigcup_{k=0}^{n-1} \operatorname{Im} (A^*)^k C^* = \mathbb{C}^n, \quad n = \operatorname{ord}(A). \tag{5.2}$$

If for a pair of matrices A, B the first equality in (5.2) holds, then the pair A, B is called *controllable* or a *full range*. If the second equality in (5.2) is fulfilled, then C, A is said to be *observable*. If a pair A, B is full range, and K is an $m_1 \times n$ matrix, then the pair $A - BK$, B is also full range.

Minimal realizations are unique up to a basis transformation, that is, if (5.1) is a minimal realization of F and if $F(\lambda) = D + \widetilde{C}(\lambda I_n - \widetilde{A})^{-1}\widetilde{B}$ is a second minimal realization of F, then there exists an invertible matrix s such that

$$\widetilde{A} = sAs^{-1}, \quad \widetilde{B} = sB, \quad \widetilde{C} = Cs^{-1}. \tag{5.3}$$

In this case, (5.3) is called a *similarity transformation*.

Finally, if F is a square matrix and $D = I_m$, then F^{-1} admits representation

$$F(\lambda)^{-1} = I_m - C(\lambda I_n - A^{\times})^{-1}B, \quad A^{\times} = A - BC. \tag{5.4}$$

Acknowledgment. AS is grateful to the German Academic Exchange Service (DAAD) for the support of this work through grant A/04/15892 and to the Leipzig University, where this work was fulfilled.

References

[1] M.J. Ablowitz, D.J. Kaup, A.C. Newell, H. Segur, The inverse scattering transform - Fourier analysis for nonlinear problems, Stud. Appl. Math. 53 (1974) 249–315.

[2] M.J. Ablowitz, H. Segur, Solitons and the inverse scattering transform, in: SIAM Stud. Appl. Math., Vol. 4, Philadelphia, 1981.

[3] D. Alpay, I. Gohberg, Unitary rational matrix functions, in: Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., Vol.33, 175-222, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1988.

[4] D. Alpay, I. Gohberg, Inverse spectral problem for differential operators with rational scattering matrix functions, J. Diff. Eqs 118 (1995) 1–19.

[5] D. Alpay, I. Gohberg, M.A. Kaashoek, A.L. Sakhnovich, Direct and inverse scattering problem for canonical systems with a strictly pseudo-exponential potential, Math. Nachr. 215 (2000) 5–31.

[6] T. Aktosun, M. Klaus, C. van der Mee, Direct and inverse scattering for selfadjoint Hamiltonian systems on the line, Integral Equations Operator Theory 38 (2000) 129–171.

[7] V.A. Arkadiev, A.K. Pogrebkov, M.K. Polivanov, Singular solutions of the KdV equation and the method of the inverse problem (in Russian), Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI) 133 (1984) 17–37.

[8] D.Z. Arov, Realization of matrix-valued functions according to Darlington (Russian), Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 37 (1973) 1299–1331.

[9] D.Z.Arov, Gamma-generating matrices, j-inner matrix functions and related extrapolation problems I, J. Soviet Math. 52 (1990) 3487–3491.

[10] D.Z. Arov, H. Dym, J-inner matrix functions, interpolation and inverse problems for canonical systems V, Integral Equations Operator Theory 43 (2002) 68–129.

[11] D.Z. Arov, B. Fritzsche, B. Kirstein, Completion problems for j_{pq}-inner functions I, Integral Equations Operator Theory 16 (1993) 155–185.

[12] D.Z. Arov, B. Fritzsche, B. Kirstein, Completion problems for j_{pq}-inner functions II, Integral Equations Operator Theory 16 (1993) 453–495.

[13] J.A. Ball, E.A. Jonckheere, The four-block Adamjan-Arov-Krein problem, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 170 (1992) 322-342.

[14] J.A. Ball, Linear systems, operator model theory and scattering: multivariable generalizations, Fields Inst. Commun. 25 (2000) 151–178.

[15] S. Barran, M. Kovalyov, A note on slowly decaying solutions of the defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation, J. Phys.A 32 (1999) 6121–6125.

[16] H. Bart, I. Gohberg, M.A. Kaashoek, Minimal factorization of matrix and operator functions, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1979.

[17] L.A. Bordag, V.B. Matveev, Selfsimilar solutions of the Korteweg-de Vries equation and potentials with a trivial S-matrix, Theoret. and Math. Phys. 34 (1978) 272–275.

[18] R. Beals, R.R. Coifman, Scattering and inverse scattering for first order systems, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 37 (1984) 39–90.

[19] R. Beals, P. Deift, C. Tomei, Direct and inverse scattering on the line, in: Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Vol. 28, AMS, Providence, 1984.

[20] K. Chadan, P.C. Sabatier, Inverse problems in quantum scattering theory, Springer, NY, 1989.

[21] S. Clark, F. Gesztesy, Weyl-Titchmarsh M-function asymptotics, local uniqueness results, trace formulas, and Borg-type theorems for Dirac operators, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 354 (2002) 3475–3534.

[22] M.J. Corless, A.E. Frazho, Linear Systems and Control - An Operator Perspective, Marcel Dekker, 2003.

[23] P. Dewilde, H. Dym, Lossless chain scattering matrices and optimum linear prediction: the vector case, Internat. J. Circuit Theory Appl. 9 (1981) 135–175.

[24] V.K. Dubovoj, B. Fritzsche, B. Kirstein, Matricial version of the classical Schur problem, in: Teubner-Texte zur Mathematik [Teubner Texts in Mathematics], Vol. 129, B. G. Teubner Verlagsgesellschaft mbH, Stuttgart, 1992.

[25] H. Dym, A. Iacob, Positive definite extensions, canonical equations and inverse problems, in: Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., Vol. 12, 141-240, Birkhäuser, Basel-Boston, 1984.

[26] L.D. Faddeev, L.A. Takhtajan, Hamiltonian methods in the theory of solitons, Springer, NY, 1986.

[27] B. Fritzsche, B. Kirstein, M. Mosch, On block completion problems for Arov-normalized j_{qq}-J_q-elementary factors, Lin. Alg. Appl. 346 (2002) 273–291.

[28] B. Fritzsche, B. Kirstein, K. Müller, An analysis of the block structure of j_{qq}-inner functions, in: Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., Vol. 106, 157–185, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998.

[29] F. Gesztesy, W. Schweiger, B. Simon, Commutation methods applied to the mKdV-equation, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 324 (1991) 465–525.

[30] I. Gohberg I, M.A. Kaashoek, A.L. Sakhnovich, Canonical systems with rational spectral densities: explicit formulas and applications, Math. Nachr. 194 (1998) 93–125.

[31] I. Gohberg, M.A. Kaashoek, A.L. Sakhnovich, Canonical systems on the line with rational spectral densities: explicit formulas, in: Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., Vol. 117, 127-139, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2000.

[32] I. Gohberg, M.A. Kaashoek, A.L. Sakhnovich, Scattering problems for a canonical system with a pseudo-exponential potential, Asymptotic Analysis 29 (2002) 1–38.

[33] I. Gohberg, S. Rubinstein, Proper contractions and their unitary minimal completions, in: Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., Vol. 33, 223–247, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1988.

[34] R.E. Kalman, P.L. Falb, M.A. Arbib, Topics in mathematical system theory, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1969.

[35] M.G. Krein, Topics in differential and integral equations and operator theory, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., Vol. 7, Birkhäuser, Basel-Boston, 1983.

[36] P. Kurasov, Singular and supersingular perturbations: Hilbert space methods. Spectral theory of Schrdinger operators, Contemp. Math. 340 (2004) 185-216.

[37] P. Lancaster, L. Rodman, Algebraic Riccati equations, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1995.

[38] B.M. Levitan, I.S. Sargsjan, Sturm-Liouville and Dirac operators, in: Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 69, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1991.

[39] M.S. Livšic, On a class of linear operators in Hilbert space, in: Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2), Vol. 13, 85-103, 1960.

[40] V.A. Marchenko, Nonlinear equations and operator algebras, Reidel, Dordrecht, 1988.

[41] V.B. Matveev, Asymptotics of the multipositon-soliton τ function of the Korteweg-de Vries equation and the supertransparency, J. Math. Phys. 35 (1994) 2955–2970.

[42] V.B. Matveev, Positons: slowly decreasing analogues of solitons, Theoret. and Math. Phys. 131 (2002) 483–497.

[43] A.L. Sakhnovich, Exact solutions of nonlinear equations and the method of operator identities, Lin.Alg.Appl. 182 (1993) 109–126.

[44] A.L. Sakhnovich, Dirac type and canonical systems: spectral and Weyl-Titchmarsh fuctions, direct and inverse problems, Inverse Problems 18 (2002) 331–348.

[45] A.L. Sakhnovich, Dirac type system on the axis: explicit formulas for matrix potentials with singularities and soliton-positon interactions, Inverse Problems 19 (2003), 845-854.

[46] L.A. Sakhnovich, On the factorization of the transfer matrix function, Sov. Math. Dokl. 17 (1976) 203–207.

[47] L.A. Sakhnovich, Factorisation problems and operator identities, Russian Math. Surv. 41 (1986) 1–64.

[48] L.A. Sakhnovich, Spectral theory of canonical differential systems. Method of operator identities, in: Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., Vol.107, Birkhäuser, Basel-Boston, 1999.

[49] C. Schiebold, Solitons of the sine-Gordon equation coming in clasters, Revista Mat. Compl. 15 (2002) 262–325.

[50] V. Vinnikov, Commuting operators and function theory on a Riemann surface, in: Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., Vol. 33, 445–476, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1998.

[51] A.E. Yagle, B.C. Levy, The Schur algorithm and its applications, Acta Appl. Math. 3 (1985) 255–284.

[52] V.E. Zaharov, A.B. Shabat, On soliton interaction in stable media, JETP 64 (1973) 1627–1639.

[53] X. Zhou, Inverse scattering transform for systems with rational spectral dependence, J. Diff. Eqs. 115 (1995) 277–303.

Bernd Fritzshe
Fakultät für Mathematik und Informatik, Mathematisches Institut, Universität Leipzig, Augustusplatz 10/11, D-04109 Leipzig, Germany;
Bernd Kirstein
Fakultät für Mathematik und Informatik, Mathematisches Institut, Universität Leipzig, Augustusplatz 10/11, D-04109 Leipzig, Germany;
Alexander Sakhnovich
Branch of Hydroacoustics, Marine Institute of Hydrophysics, National Academy of Sciences, Preobrazhenskaya 3, Odessa, Ukraine.